

Serial No. 10/537,726  
Amendment dated June 6, 2008  
Reply to Office Action dated March 8, 2007

### REMARKS

The Office Action dated March 8, 2007 has been carefully considered. In that Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-16 as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In particular, the Examiner noted that it was unclear what was meant by "first and second acoustic." All of the claims were otherwise indicated as being allowable.

By this amendment, claims 1 and 16 (the only independent claims) have been amended to include the word "chambers" after "first and second acoustic." With these amendments, it is clear that "first and second acoustic" refers to the previously recited "first acoustic chamber" and the "second acoustic chamber." It is believed that these amendments cure any indefiniteness caused from the inadvertent omission of the term "chambers" in the original claim.


Accordingly, the present Amendment is believed sufficient to place the present application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Serial No. 10/537,726  
Amendment dated June 6, 2008  
Reply to Office Action dated March 8, 2007

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the Official Action and places the present application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and an early allowance are requested.

Please charge any fees required in connection with the present communication, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 503915.

Respectfully submitted,



James D. Liles, Reg. No. 28,320  
Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur LLP  
250 East Fifth Street, Suite 2200  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
(513) 369-4209